# Between coloring and list-coloring: $\mu$ -coloring

Flavia Bonomo<sup>1,2</sup> and Mariano Cecowski<sup>3</sup>

Departamento de Computación Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad de Buenos Aires Buenos Aires, Argentina

#### Abstract

A new variation of the coloring problem,  $\mu$ -coloring, is defined in this paper. Given a graph G and a function  $\mu$ , a  $\mu$ -coloring is a coloring where each vertex v of G must receive a color at most  $\mu(v)$ . It is proved that  $\mu$ -coloring lies between coloring and list-coloring, in the sense of generalization of problems and computational complexity. The notion of perfection is extended for  $\mu$ -coloring, leading us to a new characterization of cographs. Finally, a polynomial time algorithm to solve  $\mu$ -coloring for cographs is shown.

Keywords: cographs, coloring, list-coloring,  $\mu\text{-coloring},$  M-perfect graphs, perfect graphs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partially supported by UBACyT Grant X184, PICT ANPCyT Grant 11-09112 and PID Conicet Grant 644/98, Argentina CNPq under PROSUL project Proc. 490333/2004-4, Brazil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Email: fbonomo@dc.uba.ar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Email: mcecowsk@dc.uba.ar

# 1 Introduction

Let G be a graph, with vertex set V(G). Denote by  $N_G(v)$  the set of neighbors of  $v \in V(G)$ . A cograph is a  $P_4$ -free graph, where  $P_4$  is the path of four vertices.

A complete of G is a subset of vertices pairwise adjacent. A clique is a complete not properly contained in any other. We may also use the term clique to refer to the corresponding complete subgraph. Let X and Y be two sets of vertices of G. We say that X is complete to Y if every vertex in X is adjacent to every vertex in Y, and that X is anticomplete to Y if no vertex of X is adjacent to a vertex of Y.

A coloring of a graph G = (V, E) is a function  $f : V \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(v) \neq f(w)$  if v is adjacent to w. A k-coloring is a coloring f for which  $f(v) \leq k$  for every  $v \in V$ . A graph G is k-colorable if there is a k-coloring of G.

Several variations of the coloring problem are studied in the literature (see a review in [10], and a recent work in [8]). One of them is list-coloring [11]. Given a graph G = (V, E) and a finite list  $L(v) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of colors for each vertex  $v \in V$ , G is list-colorable if there is a coloring f for which  $f(v) \in L(v)$  for each  $v \in V$ .

We define here  $\mu$ -coloring as follows. Given a graph G = (V, E) and a function  $\mu : V \to \mathbb{N}$ , G is  $\mu$ -colorable if there is a coloring f for which  $f(v) \leq \mu(v)$  for each  $v \in V$ . This problem lies between k-coloring and listcoloring. A trivial reduction from k-coloring to  $\mu$ -coloring can be done defining  $\mu(v) = k$  for every v. The reduction from  $\mu$ -coloring to list-coloring can be done defining  $L(v) = \{1, \ldots, \min\{\mu(v), |V(G)|\}\}$ . We show in this work that the betweenness is strict, that is, there is a class of graphs (bipartite graphs) for which  $\mu$ -coloring is NP-complete while coloring is in P, and there is another class of graphs (cographs) for which list-coloring is NP-complete while  $\mu$ -coloring is in P.

We say that a coloring f is *minimal* when for every vertex v, and every i < f(v), v has a neighbor  $w_i$  with  $f(w_i) = i$ . Note that every k-coloring or  $\mu$ -coloring can be transformed into a minimal one.

The chromatic number of a graph G is the minimum k such that G is kcolorable, and is denoted by  $\chi(G)$ . An obvious lower bound is the maximum
cardinality of the cliques of G, the clique number of G, denoted by  $\omega(G)$ . A
graph G is perfect [1] when  $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$  for every induced subgraph H of G.
Perfect graphs have very nice properties: they are a self-complementary class
of graphs [9], the k-coloring problem is solvable in polynomial time for perfect
graphs [5], they have been characterized by minimal forbidden subgraphs [2]

and recognized in polynomial time [3].

In this work we define M-perfect graphs and show that they are exactly the cographs. It follows from this equivalence that M-perfect graphs are a self-complementary class of graphs and can be recognized in linear time [4]. Moreover, we show that the  $\mu$ -coloring problem is solvable in polynomial time for this class of graphs.

# 2 Cographs and M-perfect graphs

A graph G is perfect when  $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$  for every induced subgraph H of G. This definition is equivalent to the following: "G is perfect when for every induced subgraph H of G and for every k, H is k-colorable if and only if every clique of H is k-colorable".

Analogously, we define M-perfect graphs as follows: a graph G is M-perfect when for every induced subgraph H of G and for every function  $\mu : V \to \mathbb{N}$ , H is  $\mu$ -colorable if and only if every clique of H is  $\mu$ -colorable.

M-perfect graphs are also perfect, because perfection is equivalent to Mperfection with  $\mu$  restricted to constant functions. The converse is not true. We will show that the graph  $P_4$  is not M-perfect, although it is perfect. In fact, M-perfect graphs are exactly the cographs. In order to prove it we need the next general result about minimal colorings on cographs.

**Theorem 2.1** Let G be a cograph and  $x \in V(G)$ . Let f be a minimal coloring of G - x, and  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . If f cannot be extended to G coloring x with a color at most T then there is a complete  $H \subseteq N_G(x)$  of size T and such that  $f(H) = \{1, \ldots, T\}$ .

**Proof.** Let G be a cograph and  $x \in V(G)$ . Let f be a minimal coloring of G - x, and  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us prove the result by induction on T. Suppose first that T = 1. If f cannot be extended to G coloring x with color 1, then there exists  $v \in N_G(x)$  such that f(v) = 1. In this case,  $H = \{v\}$ . Suppose it holds for T = s - 1 and let us see that it holds for  $T = s, s \ge 2$ . If f cannot be extended to G coloring x with a color less or equal to s, in particular the same holds for s-1, and so, by inductive hypotheses, there is a complete  $H \subseteq N_G(x)$  of size s-1 using the colors from 1 to s-1. On the other hand, since x cannot use color s, it must be a vertex  $v \in N_G(x)$  such that f(v) = s. Let us consider the subgraph  $\tilde{G}$  of G - x induced by  $\{w \in G - x : f(w) \le s - 1\} \cup \{v\}$  and let  $\tilde{f}$  be the coloring f restricted to  $\tilde{G} - v$ . By the minimality of f it follows that  $\tilde{f}$  is minimal and it cannot be extended to  $\tilde{G}$  coloring v with a color less or equal than s - 1, so, by inductive hypotheses, there is a complete  $F \subseteq N_{\tilde{G}}(v)$ 

of size s - 1 using colors from 1 to s - 1.

If H = F then  $H \cup \{v\}$  is a complete of size s in the neighborhood of x using colors from 1 to s. Suppose that they are not equal. Then  $F \setminus H$  and  $H \setminus F$ have the same cardinality and use the same colors. Let  $v_H$  in  $H \setminus F$ , and let  $v_F$  in  $F \setminus H$  such that  $f(v_F) = f(v_H)$ . Since f is a coloring of G - x,  $v_F$  and  $v_H$  are not adjacent. Since G is  $P_4$ -free,  $v_H, x, v, v_F$  do not induce a  $P_4$ , so x is adjacent to  $v_F$  or v is adjacent to  $v_H$ . If all the vertices of  $H \setminus F$  are adjacent to v, then  $H \cup \{v\}$  is a complete of size s in the neighborhood of x using colors from 1 to s.

So, suppose that the set  $H_v = \{w \in H : (w, v) \notin E(G)\}$  is non empty, and define  $F_v = \{z \in F : \exists z_H \in H_v \text{ with } f(z) = f(z_H)\}$ . Note that  $F_v$  and  $H_v$ have the same cardinality and use the same colors. Since  $H_v$  is anticomplete to v, it follows that  $F_v$  must be complete to x. If  $H \setminus H_v$  is empty, then  $F = F_v$ is complete to x and  $F \cup \{v\}$  is a complete of size s in the neighborhood of xusing colors from 1 to s.

Suppose that  $H \setminus H_v$  is non empty, and let us see that  $F_v$  is complete to  $H \setminus H_v$ . Let  $z \in F_v$  and  $w \in H \setminus H_v$ . Let  $z_H \in H_v$  such that  $f(z_H) = f(z)$ . Then  $z_H$  is neither adjacent to z nor to v and since H is a complete,  $z_H$  and w are adjacent. Besides, w is adjacent to v because of being in  $H \setminus H_v$ . Since  $z_H, w, v, z$  do not induce a  $P_4, w$  must be adjacent to z. Therefore  $F_v$  is complete to  $H \setminus H_v$ . Hence  $\widetilde{H} = (H \cup F_v \cup \{v\}) \setminus H_v$  is a complete in  $N_G(x)$  of size s such that  $f(\widetilde{H}) = \{1, \ldots, s\}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** If G is a graph, the following are equivalent:

- (i) G is a cograph
- (ii) G is M-perfect
- (iii) for every function  $\mu: V \to \mathbb{N}$ , G is  $\mu$ -colorable if and only if every clique of G is  $\mu$ -colorable.

**Proof (Sketch).** It is easy to prove that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Let us see that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)) Let  $v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be a  $P_4$ , and let  $\mu$  be defined as follows:  $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_4) = 1$ ,  $\mu(v_2) = \mu(v_3) = 2$ . Clearly, every clique is  $\mu$ -colorable, but the whole graph is not.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)) Suppose that there is a  $P_4$ -free graph which is not M-perfect. Let G be a minimal one, that is, G is  $P_4$ -free and it is not M-perfect, but for every vertex x of G, G - x is M-perfect.

Let  $\mu : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  such that the cliques of G are  $\mu$ -colorable but G is not. Let x be a vertex of G with  $\mu(x)$  maximum. The graph G - x is M-perfect, and since the cliques of G are  $\mu$ -colorable, also those of G - x are, so G - x is  $\mu$ -colorable. Let f be a minimal  $\mu$ -coloring of G - x.

Since G is not  $\mu$ -colorable, f cannot be extended to a  $\mu$ -coloring of G. Hence by Theorem 2.1,  $N_G(x)$  contains a complete of size  $\mu(x)$ . But then G contains a complete of size  $\mu(x) + 1$  for which the upper bounds of all of its vertices are at most  $\mu(x)$  (we have chosen x with maximum value of  $\mu$ ). This is a contradiction, because all the cliques of G are  $\mu$ -colorable.

Therefore there is not minimal M-imperfect  $P_4$ -free graph, and that concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# 3 Algorithm for $\mu$ -coloring cographs

The greedy coloring algorithm consists of successively color the vertices with the least possible color in a given order.

From Theorem 2.1 we can prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** The greedy coloring algorithm applied to the vertices in nondecreasing order of  $\mu$  gives a  $\mu$ -coloring for a cograph, when it is  $\mu$ -colorable.

A little improvement in the greedy algorithm allows us to find a non  $\mu$ colorable clique when the graph is not  $\mu$ -colorable. A nice corollary of this
theorem is the following.

**Corollary 3.2** The greedy coloring algorithm gives an optimal coloring for cographs, independently of the order of the vertices.

Jansen and Scheffler [7] prove that list-coloring is NP-complete for cographs, hence  $\mu$ -coloring is "easier" than list-coloring, unless P=NP.

#### 4 Bipartite graphs

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that a cograph G that is  $\mu$ -colorable can be  $\mu$ colored using the first  $\chi(G)$  colors. This does not happen for bipartite graphs,
even for trees.

Define the family  $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of trees and the corresponding family  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of functions as follows:  $T_1 = \{v\}$  is a trivial tree, and  $\mu_1(v) = 1$ . The tree  $T_{n+1}$  is obtained from  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  by adding a root w adjacent to the roots of  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ . Function  $\mu_{n+1}$  extends  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$  and is defined at w as  $\mu_{n+1}(w) = n+1$ . The tree  $T_n$  requires n colors to be  $\mu_n$ -colored, and it has  $2^{n-1}$  vertices. In fact, the following property holds. **Theorem 4.1** Let T be a tree, and let  $\mu$  be a function such that T is  $\mu$ colorable. Then T can be  $\mu$ -colored using at most the first  $\log_2(|V(T)|) + 1$ colors.

A similar result can be obtained for bipartite graphs. Define the family  $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of bipartite graphs and the corresponding family  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of functions as follows:  $B_1 = \{v\}$  is a trivial graph, and  $\mu_1(v) = 1$ . The bipartite graph  $B_{n+1} = (V, W, E)$  has  $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ ,  $W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ ;  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $w_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ;  $v_n$  is adjacent to  $w_n$ , and  $v_i$  is not adjacent to  $w_i$  for i < n;  $\mu_{n+1}(v_i) = \mu_{n+1}(w_i) = i$  for i < n;  $\mu_{n+1}(v_n) = n$  and  $\mu_{n+1}(w_n) = n + 1$ . The bipartite graph  $B_n$  requires n colors to be  $\mu_n$ -colored, and it has 2n-2 vertices (if  $n \geq 2$ ). Analogously, the following property holds.

**Theorem 4.2** Let B be a bipartite graph, and let  $\mu$  be a function such that B is  $\mu$ -colorable. Then B can be  $\mu$ -colored using at most the first  $\frac{(|V(B)|+2)}{2}$  colors.

Hujter and Tuza [6] prove that list-coloring is NP-complete for bipartite graphs, and the same holds for  $\mu$ -coloring.

**Theorem 4.3**  $\mu$ -coloring is NP-complete for bipartite graphs.

**Proof.** Consider an instance of bipartite list-coloring, i.e., assume that a bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E) is given, and for each  $v \in V(G)$ , we have a finite list  $L(v) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of the possible colors of v. Let  $k = |\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)|$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $L(v) \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . We add two k-element sets of vertices,  $X' = \{x'_1, \ldots, x'_k\}$  and  $Y' = \{y'_1, \ldots, y'_k\}$  to G such that X, Y, X', Y' are pairwise disjoint. Furthermore, we take a bipartition  $(X \cup X', Y \cup Y')$  of the new graph G', and for any  $x \in X, y \in Y$ , and  $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , define the following new adjacency relations:  $x'_i$  is adjacent to  $y'_j$  if and only if  $i \neq j$ ;  $x'_i$  is adjacent to y if and only if  $i \notin L(y)$ ;  $y'_i$  is adjacent to x if and only if  $i \notin L(x)$ . We define  $\mu(x'_i) = \mu(y'_i) = i$  and  $\mu(x) = \mu(y) = k$ . Then G is list-colorable if and only if G' is  $\mu$ -colorable. The transformation can be made in polynomial time, and this completes the proof.

Coloring is trivially in P for bipartite graphs, hence  $\mu$ -coloring is "harder" than coloring, unless P=NP.

# Acknowledgement

We thank Guillermo Durán and Adrian Bondy for their valuable ideas which improved this work.

### References

- C. Berge, Les problemes de colorations en théorie des graphes, Publ. Inst. Stat. Univ. Paris 9 (1960), 123–160.
- [2] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, *The Strong Perfect Graph Theorem*, Annals of Mathematics, to appear.
- [3] M. Chudnovsky, G. Cornuéjols, X. Liu, P. Seymour and K. Vušković, *Recognizing Berge Graphs*, Combinatorica, to appear.
- [4] D. Corneil, Y. Perl and L. Stewart, Cographs: recognition, applications and algorithms, Congr. Numer. 43 (1984), 249–258.
- [5] M. Grötschel, L. Lovász and A. Schrijver, *The ellipsoid method and its consequences in combinatorial optimization*, Combinatorica 1 (1981), 169–197.
- [6] M. Hujter and Zs. Tuza, Precoloring extension. II. Graph classes related to bipartite graphs, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae 62 (1) (1993), 1–11.
- [7] K. Jansen and P. Scheffler, Generalized coloring for tree-like graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 75 (1997), 135–155.
- [8] S. Klein and M. Kouider, On b-perfect graphs, In: Annals of the XII CLAIO, Havanna, Cuba (2004).
- [9] L. Lovász, A characterization of perfect graphs and the perfect graph conjecture, J. Combin. Theory B 132 (1972), 95–98.
- [10] Zs. Tuza, Graph colorings with local constraints a survey, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 17 (1997), 161–228.
- [11] V. Vizing, Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors, Metody Diskret. Anal. v Teorii Kodov i Shem 29 (1976), 3–10.